Determination

Standards for Rangeland Health and Conformance with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management

Field Office: Jarbidge Field Office				Watershed Name: Saylor Creek	
Allotment Name/Number: Coonskin AMP / 1123					
Public Land (acres)			Str	eams on Public Land (miles): 0	
Upland: 41,034	Riparian:	Total: 41,034			
Date(s) of Field Assessment: 2002				me of Permittee(s): lar Creek Cattle Co.	
Assessment Participants (Name & Discipline or Interest): Arnie Pike, Rangeland Management Specialist James Klott, Wildlife Biologist Clare Josaitis, Natural Resource Specialist John Ash, Natural Resource Specialist Sheri Hagwood, Botanist Patricia Courtney, Range Technician					
Standard 1 (Wate Check those that a	•	re boxes must	be ch	ecked.]	
☐ Standard does					
X Meeting the Standard.			Graz	ot Meeting the Standard, Livestock ring Management Practices are ificant Factors.	
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.		_	Graz	ot Meeting the Standard, Livestock ring Management Practices are not ificant Factors.	
X Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.			oes not conform with Guidelines for stock Grazing Management Guideline s).		

Rationale/Information Sources:

Overall, the allotment is meeting this Standard. There was a little evidence of plant pedestals due to water or wind erosion likely to have occurred immediately after a wildfire. There is minimal soil crusting and no evidence of a compaction layer. There is some evidence of hoof

prints, but deep hoof prints were uncommon. Rills and gullies were rare or absent. The only exception noted is higher than expected bare ground (>10%) in a few areas, low soil surface resistance to erosion, and a high amount of trampling in small areas of depressions.

Standard 2 (Riparian Areas and Wetlands) Check those that apply:[One or more boxes must be checked.]				
X Standard doesn't apply				
☐ Meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are Significant Factors.			
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are not Significant Factors.			
☐ Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.	☐ Does not conform with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management Guideline No(s).			
No streams with perennial or intermittent water flows are located within the Coonskin AMP Allotment. Although Saylor Creek runs through a portion of the allotment, it is ephemeral in nature and, in most years, does not have flowing water even during spring run off. Therefore, neither Standard 2 or Standard 3 are applicable to this allotment.				
Standard 3 (Stream Channel/Floodplain) Check those that apply:[One or more boxes must	t be checked.]			
X Standard doesn't apply				
☐ Meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are Significant Factors.			
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are not Significant Factors.			
☐ Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.	☐ Does not conform with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management Guideline No(s).			
Rationale/Information Sources:				

(Refer to Standard 2.)

Standard 4 (Native Plant Communities) Check those that apply:[One or more boxes mus	t be checked.]
☐ Standard doesn't apply	
X Meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are Significant Factors.
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are not Significant Factors.
X Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.	☐ Does not conform with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management Guideline No(s).
Rationale/Information Sources:	
Overall the allotment meets this standard there a composition of perennial grasses, forbs, nitroger slight deviation from potential.	
Standard 5 (Seedings) Check those that apply:[One or more boxes mus	t be checked.]
☐ Standard doesn't apply	
☐ Meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are Significant Factors.
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.	X Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are not Significant Factors.
X Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.	☐ Does not conform with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management Guideline

Rationale/Information Sources:

The perennial grass cover is near potential in these seedings. There is a good mix of both seeded and native species. Some of the areas seeded to crested wheatgrass have over 15 percent big sagebrush indicating a reinvasion following the seeding treatment. Exotic annuals (cheatgrass, bur buttercup, Russian thistle) are common within these seedings. Forbs are low in composition, but they are not diminishing. Fourwing saltbush was included in some of the seedings, but was

No(s).

not evaluated. These seeded area are not expected to have the diversity of native areas. Current livestock management practices are not limiting the ability of these seedings to meet the Standard.

Standard 6 (Exotic Plant Communities, Other than Seedings) Check those that apply:[One or more boxes must be checked.]				
X Standard doesn't apply				
☐ Meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are Significant Factors.			
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are not Significant Factors.			
☐ Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.	☐ Does not conform with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management Guideline No(s).			
Rationale/Information Sources: Standard 7 (Water Quality) Check those that apply:[One or more boxes must	t he checked]			
X Standard doesn't apply	i be checked.			
☐ Meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are Significant Factors.			
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are not Significant Factors.			
X Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.	☐ Does not conform with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management Guideline No(s).			

Rationale/Information Sources:

Standard 8 (Threatened and Endangered Plan Check those that apply: [One or more boxes must	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
☐ Standard doesn't apply		
X Meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are Significant Factors.	
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are not Significant Factors.	
☐ Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.	☐ Does not conform with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management Guideline No(s).	
Rationale/Information Sources:		
A number of species presently designated as Ser present plant communities are, overall, providing	<u> </u>	
There are no plant species presently classified as limited surveys for sensitive plants have been comay occur. It is unknown whether the standard	onducted in this allotment and sensitive species	
Determ	nination	
I have determined that the applicable Standards the Coonskin AMP Allotment. Standard 5 is not progress. Current livestock grazing practices are achieving this Standard.	t being met and are not making significant	
/s/ E. Guerrero Field Manager	5/12/04 Date	
1 1010 111010501	Dan	